arXiv:0910.5384v1 [math.AG] 28 Oct 2009

ON A CONJECTURE OF KASHIWARA RELATING CHERN AND EULER CLASSES OF $\mathcal{O}$-MODULES

JULIEN GRIVAUX

Abstract. In this note we prove a conjecture of Kashiwara, which states that the Euler class of a coherent analytic sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a complex manifold X is the product of the Chern character of $\mathcal{F}$ with the Todd class of X. This gives a functorial proof of the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in Dolbeault cohomology for complex manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The various notations used throughout this article are defined in Section 2.

Let X be a complex manifold and $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ be the bounded derived category of complexes on X with coherent cohomology. In [Ka] (which is reproduced in [Ka-Sc, Ch. 5]), Kashiwara constructs for every $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ two classes $\mathrm{hh}_X(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{thh}_X(\mathcal{F})$ in $H^0_{\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}(X, \delta_X^* \delta_{X*} \mathcal{O}_X)$ and $H^0_{\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}(X, \delta_X^! \delta_{X!}\, \omega_X)$, where δ_X is the diagonal injection. The classes $\mathrm{hh}_X(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{thh}_X(\mathcal{F})$ are *the Hochschild and co-Hochschild classes of* $\mathcal{F}$ (see also [Ca1]).

If $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic map, then

- For every $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, $\mathrm{hh}_X(f^*\mathcal{G}) = f^* \,\mathrm{hh}_X(\mathcal{G})$.
- For every $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $f_{|\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}$ is proper, $\mathrm{thh}_Y(Rf_!\,\mathcal{F}) = f_!\,\mathrm{thh}_X(\mathcal{F})$.

The Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphisms [Ho-Ko-Ro] in degree zero are canonical quasi-isomorphisms

$$\delta_X^* \delta_{X*} \mathcal{O}_X \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} \Omega_X^i[i] \qquad \text{and} \qquad \delta_X^! \delta_{X!}\, \omega_X \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} \Omega_X^i[i].$$

The Hochschild and co-Hochschild classes of an element $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ are mapped via the HKR isomorphisms to the so-called Chern and Euler classes of $\mathcal{F}$ in $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} H^i_{\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}(X, \Omega_X^i)$. These classes are denoted by $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{F})$.

In [Ca2], Căldăraru proved that $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})$ is mapped via the natural morphism

$$\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} H^i_{\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}(X, \Omega_X^i) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} H^i(X, \Omega_X^i)$$

to the usual Chern character in Dolbeault cohomology defined by the Atiyah exact sequence [At]. The Chern and Euler classes satisfy the same functoriality properties as the Hochschild and co-Hochschild classes: if $f \colon X \longrightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic map, then

- For every $\mathcal{G}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, $\mathrm{ch}(f^*\mathcal{G}) = f^* \,\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})$.

– For every $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $f_{|\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}$ is proper, $\mathrm{eu}(Rf_!\,\mathcal{F})=f_!\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{F})$.

Furthermore, for every $\mathcal{F}$ in $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$, $\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{F})=\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X)$. This construction yields the following Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem:

Theorem 1.1. [Ka] *Let $f\colon X\longrightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an element of* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *such that $f_{|\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}$ is proper. Then, in $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_Y} H^i_{f(\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F}))}(Y,\Omega^i_Y)$,*

$$\mathrm{ch}(Rf_!\,\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Y)=f_!\left[\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X)\right].$$

Then Kashiwara stated the following conjecture (see [Ka-Sc]):

Conjecture. [Ka] *The class* $\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ *is the Todd class of the tangent bundle TX.*

This conjecture was related to another conjecture of Schapira and Schneiders comparing the Euler class of a $\mathscr{D}_X$-module $\mathfrak{m}$ and the Chern class of the associated $\mathcal{O}_X$-module $\mathrm{Gr}\,\mathfrak{m}$ via the Todd class of X (see [Sc-Sc], [Br-Ne-Ts]).

Recall that for every locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ on a complex manifold X, the Todd class $\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{E})$ is defined in $\left[\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} H^i(X,\Omega^i_X)\right]^*$ and is characterized by the following properties:

- If $\mathrm{rank}(\mathcal{E})=1$, $\mathrm{td}(\mathcal{E})=\frac{c_1(\mathcal{E})}{1-e^{-c_1(\mathcal{E})}}$.
- The Todd class is multiplicative under short exact sequences of locally free sheaves.
- The Todd class is functorial under pullbacks by holomorphic maps.

The aim of this note is to give a simple proof of Kashiwara's conjecture:

Theorem 1.2. *For any complex manifold X,* $\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X)=\mathrm{td}(X)$.

In the algebraic context, this result is established in [Ra] (see also [Ma]).

As a corollary of Theorem 1.2, we obtain the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem in Dolbeault cohomology for abstract complex manifolds, which has been already proved by different methods in [OB-To-To]:

Theorem 1.3. *Let $f\colon X\longrightarrow Y$ be a holomorphic map between complex manifolds, and let $\mathcal{F}$ be an element of* $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}_{\mathrm{coh}}(X)$ *such that $f_{|\,\mathrm{supp}(\mathcal{F})}$ is proper. Then*

$$\mathrm{ch}(Rf_!\,\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(Y)=f_!\left[\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(X)\right].$$

However, the proof given here is simpler and more conceptual.

Acknowledgement. I want to thank Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira for communicating their preprint [Ka-Sc] to me. I also want to thank Pierre Schapira for useful conversations.

2. Notations

We follow the notations of [Ka-Sc, Ch. 5].

If X is a complex manifold, we will denote by $\mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$ the bounded derived category of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_X$-modules. If $f\colon X\longrightarrow Y$ is a holomorphic map between complex manifolds, the operations

$$f^*\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)\longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_X),\quad Rf_!\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_X)\longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)\ \text{ and }\ f^!\colon \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)\longrightarrow \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

are part of the formalism of Grothendieck's six operations. Let us recall their definitions:

- $\forall \mathcal{G}\in \mathrm{D}^{\mathrm{b}}(\mathcal{O}_Y)$, $f^*\mathcal{G}=f^{-1}\mathcal{G}\overset{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes}_{f^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y}\mathcal{O}_X$.
- $Rf_!$ is the right derived functor of the proper direct image functor $f_!$.
- $f^!$ is the exceptional inverse image. It is the right adjoint to the functor $Rf_!$.

If $W\subseteq Y$ is closed, the pullback map $f^*\,\Omega^i_Y[i]\longrightarrow \Omega^i_X[i]$ induces in cohomology a map

$$f^*\colon \bigoplus_i H^i_W\big(Y,\Omega^i_Y\big)\longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^i_{f^{-1}(W)}\big(X,\Omega^i_X\big).$$

If $Z\subseteq X$ is closed and f is proper on Z, the integration morphism

$$Rf_!\Omega_X^{i+d_X}[i+d_X]\longrightarrow \Omega_Y^{i+d_Y}[i+d_Y]$$

induces a Gysin morphism

$$f_!:\bigoplus_i H_Z^{i+d_X}\big(X,\Omega_X^{i+d_X}\big)\longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H_{f(Z)}^{i+d_Y}\big(Y,\Omega_Y^{i+d_Y}\big).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We proceed in several steps.

Lemma 3.1. *Let Y and Z be complex manifolds such that $Z\subseteq Y$. Then, for every coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on Z, we have*

$$i_{Z!}\,[\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(Z)]=\mathrm{ch}(i_{Z*}\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(Y)$$

in $\bigoplus_i H^i_Z\big(Y,\Omega^i_Y\big)$.

Proof. This is proved in the classical way using the deformation to the normal cone as in [Fu] Section 15.2, except that we use cohomology with supports.

Let $M=Bl_{Z\times\{0\}/Y\times\mathbb{P}^1}$ be the deformation to the normal cone of Z in Y, $E=\mathbb{P}\big(N_{Z/Y}\oplus\mathcal{O}_Z\big)$ be the exceptional divisor and $\widetilde{Y}$ be the strict transform of $Y\times\{0\}$. Then M is flat over $\mathbb{P}^1$ and the strict transform of $Z\times\mathbb{P}^1$ in M is isomorphic to $Z\times\mathbb{P}^1$. The embedding of $Z\times\mathbb{P}^1$ in M will be denoted by F. For $t\neq 0$, F_t is the injection i_Z and for $t=0$, F_0 is the injection of Z in E, where Z is identified with the zero section of $N_{Z/Y}$. We consider the following diagram, where $t\neq 0$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Z \arrow[r, "\widetilde{i}_Z"] \arrow[d] & E+\widetilde{Y}=M_0 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, bend right, "k"'] \arrow[d, bend left, "j_0"] & \{0\} \arrow[d] \\
Z\times\mathbb{P}^1 \arrow[r, "F"] & M \arrow[r] & \mathbb{P}^1 \\
Z \arrow[r, "i_Z"] \arrow[u] & Y=M_t \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "j_t"'] & \{t\} \arrow[u]
\end{tikzcd}$$

– In $\bigoplus\limits_i H^i\bigl(E,\Omega^i_E\bigr)$,

$$\mathrm{ch}\bigl(\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\,\mathcal{F}\bigr)=\widetilde{i}_{Z!}\bigl(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(N_{Z/E})^{-1}\bigr), \tag{*}$$

since $\widetilde{i}_Z$ admits a retraction π given by the projection of $\mathbb{P}\bigl(N_{Z/Y}\oplus\mathcal{O}_Z\bigr)$ on Z, and $\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z$ admits a natural resolution by locally free $\mathcal{O}_E$-modules which allows to compute $\mathrm{ch}\bigl(\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z\bigr)$ explicitely (see [Fu], pp 282–283).

– Let $d=\operatorname{codim} Z$. Since $\pi: E\longrightarrow Z$ is proper, we have a diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H^i\bigl(Z,\Omega^i_Z\bigr) \arrow[r, "\widetilde{i}_{Z!}"] \arrow[dr, equal, "\mathrm{id}"'] & H^{i+d}_Z\bigl(E,\Omega^{i+d}_E\bigr) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_!"] & H^{i+d}\bigl(E,\Omega^{i+d}_E\bigr) \arrow[dl, "\pi_!"] \\
& H^i\bigl(Z,\Omega^i_Z\bigr) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Theorem 1.1 yields the following identity in $\bigoplus_i H^i_Z\bigl(E,\Omega^i_E\bigr)$:

$$\mathrm{ch}\bigl(\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\,\mathcal{F}\bigr)=\widetilde{i}_{Z!}\bigl(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Z)\,.\,\widetilde{i}^{\,*}_Z\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_E)^{-1}\bigr).$$

Thus $\mathrm{ch}(\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\mathcal{F})$ belongs to the image of $\widetilde{i}_{Z!}: H^i\bigl(Z,\Omega^i_Z\bigr)\longrightarrow H^{i+d}_Z\bigl(E,\Omega^{i+d}_E\bigr)$. The diagram above shows that the map

$$\mathrm{Im}\,\Bigl(\widetilde{i}_{Z!}: H^i\bigl(Z,\Omega^i_Z\bigr)\longrightarrow H^{i+d}_Z\bigl(E,\Omega^{i+d}_E\bigr)\Bigr)\longrightarrow H^{i+d}\bigl(E,\Omega^{i+d}_E\bigr)$$

is injective. Thus (*) also holds in $\bigoplus\limits_i H^i_Z\bigl(E,\Omega^i_E\bigr)$.

– We consider the sheaf $\mathcal{G}=F_*\bigl(pr_1^*\mathcal{F}\bigr)$. Since M is flat over $\mathbb{P}^1$, $j_t^*\mathcal{G}=i_{Z*}\mathcal{F}$ and $k^*\mathcal{G}=\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\mathcal{F}$. If $\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})$ is the Chern character of $\mathcal{G}$ in $\bigoplus\limits_i H^i_{Z\times\mathbb{P}^1}\bigl(M,\Omega^i_M\bigr)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
j_{t!}\,\mathrm{ch}(i_{Z*}\mathcal{F}) &= j_{t!}\,j_t^*\,\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})=\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})\,.\,[M_t] \\
&= \mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})\,.\,[M_0]=\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})\,.\,\bigl([E]+[\widetilde{Y}]\bigr)=\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})\,.\,[E] && \text{since } (Z\times\mathbb{P}^1)\cap\widetilde{Y}=\varnothing \\
&= k_!\,k^*\,\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{G})=k_!\,\mathrm{ch}\bigl(\widetilde{i}_{Z*}\mathcal{F}\bigr) \\
(**)\qquad &= k_!\,\widetilde{i}_{Z!}\bigl(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(N_{Z/E})^{-1}\bigr)=k_!\,\widetilde{i}_{Z!}\bigl(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(N_{Z/X})^{-1}\bigr).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma : M \longrightarrow Y \times \mathbb{P}^1$ be the blowup map and $q : M \longrightarrow Y$ be defined by $q = pr_2 \circ \sigma$. The map q is proper on $Z \times \mathbb{P}^1$, $q \circ j_t = \mathrm{id}$ and $q \circ k \circ \widetilde{i}_Z = i_Z$. Applying $q_!$ to (**), we get $\mathrm{ch}\big(i_{Z*}\mathcal{F}\big) = i_{Z!}\big(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F})\,.\,\mathrm{td}(N_{Z/X})^{-1}\big)$ in $\bigoplus_i H^i_Z\big(Y, \Omega^i_Y\big)$. ☐

Definition 3.2. For any complex manifold X, let $\alpha(X)$ be the class in $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} H^i\big(X, \Omega^i_X\big)$ defined by $\alpha(X) = \mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X)\,.\,\mathrm{td}(X)^{-1}$.

Lemma 3.3. *Let Y and Z be complex manifolds such that $Z \subseteq Y$. We suppose that there exists a holomorphic retraction $R : Y \longrightarrow Z$. Then $i^*_Z\,\alpha(Y) = \alpha(Z)$.*

Proof. The Euler class being functorial under direct images, $\mathrm{eu}(i_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z) = i_{Z!}\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Z)$. By Lemma 3.1,

$$\mathrm{eu}(i_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z) = \mathrm{ch}(i_{Z*}\mathcal{O}_Z)\,.\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Y) = i_{Z!}\,\mathrm{td}(Z)\,.\,\mathrm{td}(Y)^{-1}.\,\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Y),$$

so that we obtain in $\bigoplus_i H^i_Z\big(Y, \Omega^i_Y\big)$ the formula

$$i_{Z!}\big[\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Z) - \mathrm{td}(Z)\,.\,i^*_Z\big(\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_Y)\,.\,\mathrm{td}(Y)^{-1}\big)\big] = 0.$$

Since R is proper on Z, we can apply $R_!$ and we get $\alpha(Z) = i^*_Z\,\alpha(Y)$. ☐

Lemma 3.4. *$\alpha(X)\,.\,\alpha(X) = \alpha(X)$.*

Proof. We apply Lemma 3.3 with $Z = X$ and $Y = X \times X$, where X is diagonaly embedded in $X \times X$. Then $\alpha(X) = i^*_\Delta\,\alpha(X \times X)$. The Euler class commutes with external products so that

$$\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_{X\times X}) = \mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X) \boxtimes \mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{td}(X \times X) = \mathrm{td}(X) \boxtimes \mathrm{td}(X).$$

Thus $\alpha(X \times X) = \alpha(X) \boxtimes \alpha(X)$ and we obtain $\alpha(X) = i^*_\Delta[\alpha(X) \boxtimes \alpha(X)] = \alpha(X)\,.\,\alpha(X)$. ☐

The class $\alpha(X)$ is invertible since the isomorphism $\delta^*\delta_*\mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \delta^!\delta_!\omega_X$ is given by the cup-product with $\alpha(X)$ via the HKR isomorphisms after applying $H^0\big(R\Gamma(X, \,.\,)\big)$. Thus, if we consider the grading on $\bigoplus_{i=0}^{d_X} H^i(X, \Omega^i_X)$ given by the index i, we get $\alpha(X)_0 = 1$.

If $\alpha(X) \neq 1$, we can write $\alpha(X) = 1 + \beta + \gamma$, where $\beta \neq 0$, $\mathrm{d}^\circ(\beta) = k \geq 1$ and γ consists of terms of degree at least $k+1$. Then $\alpha(X)\,.\,\alpha(X) = 1 + 2\beta + \gamma'$ where γ' consists of terms of degree at least $k+1$. Lemma 3.4 implies $\beta = 0$, which is absurd. This proves that $\alpha(X) = 1$, which means that $\mathrm{eu}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathrm{td}(X)$.

REFERENCES

[At] M. F. Atiyah, Complex analytic connections in fiber bundles, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., *85*, (1957), 181–207.

[Br-Ne-Ts] P. Bressler, R. Nest, B. Tsygan, Riemann-Roch theorems via deformation quantization, I, II, Adv. Math., *167*, (2002), 1–25, 26–73.

[Ca1] A. Căldăraru, The Mukai pairing I: the Hochschild structure, `arXiv:math.AG/0308079`.

[Ca2] A. Căldăraru, The Mukai pairing II: the Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg isomorphism, Adv. Math., *194*, (2005), 34–66.

[Fu] W. Fulton, Intersection Theory, Springer, (1984).

[Ho-Ko-Ro] G. Hochschild, B. Kostant, A. Rosenberg, Differential forms on regular affine algebras, Trans. AMS, *102*, (1962), 383–408.

[Ka] M. Kashiwara, Unpublished letter to Pierre Schapira, (1991).

[Ka-Sc] M. Kashiwara, P. Schapira, Deformation quantization modules, (2009), preprint.

[Ma] N. Markarian, The Atiyah class, Hochschild cohomology and the Riemann-Roch theorem, `arXiv:math.AG/0503400`.

[OB-To-To] N. R. O'Brian, D. Toledo, Y. L. L. Tong, A Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula for maps of complex manifolds, Math. Ann., *271*, (1985), 493–526.

[Ra] A. C. Ramadoss, The relative Riemann-Roch theorem from Hochschild homology, `arXiv:math/0603127`.

[Sc-Sc] P. Schapira, J.-P. Schneiders, Index theorem for elliptic pairs II. Euler class and relative index theorem, Astérisque, *224*, Soc. Math. France (1994).

Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, UMR 7586, Case 247, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, 4, place Jussieu, F-75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
E-mail address: `jgrivaux@math.jussieu.fr`